1 2 3	ORRY P. KORB, County Counsel (S.B. #114399) MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS, Deputy County Counsel (S.B. #215814) OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, Ninth Floor San Jose, California 95110-1770 Telephone: (408) 299-5900 For a willy (408) 209-7240								
4	Facsimile: (408) 292-7240								
5	Attorneys for Defendants SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA								
7									
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (San Francisco)								
	(San Ti	ancisco)							
10									
11	TOM SCOCCA, MADISON SOCIETY, INC., and THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,	No. CV11-01318 EMC							
12		STIPULATION AND ORDER							
13	Plaintiffs,	CONTINUING MARCH 26, 2015 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE							
14	v.								
	SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH (In her individual								
15	and official capacity.), COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, and DOES 1 to 20,								
16	Defendants.								
17									
18	The parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:								
19	1. On January 14, 2013, the Court issued	d an order staying this case until the Ninth Circuit							
20	rules on Peruta v. County of San Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto (Yolo County),								
21	Case No.: 11-16255. The Court previously continued case management conferences in this action								
22	on the grounds that <i>Richards</i> and <i>Peruta</i> were still pending.								
23	2. The Ninth Circuit has issued rulings in <i>Peruta</i> and <i>Richards</i> . In both cases, however,								
24	there are pending requests for en banc review and a pending request for intervention by the								
25	California Attorney General in <i>Peruta</i> . And on May 1, 2014, the Ninth Circuit issued an order in								
26	Richards that deferred ruling on the petition for rehearing en banc pending the Court's resolution of								
27	pending post-opinion matters in <i>Peruta</i> .								
28	//								

1	3. The parties agree that the March 26, 2015 Case Management Conference should be								
2	continu	continued while the Ninth Circuit considers en banc review in Peruta and Richards.							
3		I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a							
4	"confor	"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.							
5						ORRY P. KORB			
6						COUNTY COUNSEL			
7	Dated:	March 1	7, 2015	В	Ву: _	/S/			
8						MELISSA R. KINIYALO Deputy County Counsel	CTS		
9						Attorneys for Defendants	** 1		
10						SHERIFF LAURIE SMIT. COUNTY OF SANTA CL			
11									
12						LAW OFFICES OF DONA	ALD KILMER		
13									
14	Dated:	March 1	.7, 2015	В	Ву:_	/S/ DONALD E.J. KILMER, .	IR ESO		
15						Attorneys for Plaintiffs	JK., E5Q.		
16						Actionicy's for 1 minums			
17									
18		ORDER							
19	The March 26, 2015 Case Management Conference is continued to June 18, 2015 at 10:30am								
20	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing								
21	its final disposition in Peruta v. County of San Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto								
22	(Yolo C	County), (Case No.: 11-16	5255.	ES	DISTRICE			
23	EDWARD M. CHEN								
24									
25		IT IS SO ORDERED DEATH Court Judge							
26	Z Chen Z								
27	Judge Edward M. Chen								
28	1083694								
				マイアル					